

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,840	08/18/2003	Duane J. Duncan	DDAP:101bUS	4074
7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER		
C. Richard Lohrman, Esq.			NICHOLSON, ERIC K	
Simpson & Sim 5555 Main Stree			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Williamsville, NY 14221-5406		3679		

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/642,840	DUNCAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eric K Nicholson	3679			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office (ater than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·		<b>!</b>	• • • •		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2,4-10,15-17,21,22,24-30 and 35-3 Claim(s) 3,11-14,18-20,23,31-34 and 38-40 is/ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.  7 is/are rejected.  7 are objected to.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 12-15-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3679

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockerty 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 2,4-10,15-17,22,24-30 and 35-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-12 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,709,021. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 2 and 22 compare to patent claim 1.

Claims 4 and 24 compare to patent claim 2.

Claims 5 and 25 compare to patent claim 3.

Claims 6 and 26 compare to patent claim 4.

Claims 7 and 27 compare to patent claim 5.

Claims 8 and 28 compare to patent claim 6.

Claims 9 and 29 compare to patent claim 7.

Claims 10 and 30 compare to patent claim 8.

Claims 15 and 35 compare to patent claim 10.

Claims 16 and 36 compare to patent claim 11.

Claims 17 and 37 compare to patent claim 12.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,840

Art Unit: 3679

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,709,021. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the female member of the patent claim 1 to be merely moveable since it clearly is already moveable in the sense that it is pivotabily rotated about a pivot point. As to the difference in claims 1 and 21, there bares no structural difference in the first end of the band and the second end of the band and therefore the claims can be viewed as indistinguishable.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,840

Art Unit: 3679

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3,11-14,18-20,23,31-34 and 38-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a

Page 4

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for

Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

ekn 3/30/04

W@H

Eric K. Nicholson

**Primary Examiner**